## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 1 0 2007

Marina Del Rey, CA. 90291 (310) 822-1100 = Telephone (310) 822-2679 = FAX	
TO: U.S P. T.O	
COMPANY: Patent Revival	
FAX #: (571) 273 - 8300	
# OF PAGES (Including this page) 4	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	who arts whe
FROM: DAVID GROBER	
DATE: pp. 10, 2007 TIME:	
NOTES: IT is my understanding that	They
Patent Rewal request may be	Filed by FAX.
IF That is not correct, please.	advisa me.
Phase send a receipt of This	FAX.
Thank you	
Taul Haben	
RE: Request For Revival of Retent u	nda 376 FR 1.18160,
Potent application II 10/647/	

DAVID GROBER

616-A Venice Blvd.

From:
David Grober
616 Venice Blvd.
Venice, CA. 90291
(310) 822-1100

To: USPTO Office of Patent Revival. P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450 By FAX (571) 273-8300

Re: 10/647,892.

Dear Office of Patent Revival:

I am filing, as instructed by the USPTO, to submit this written statement under 37 CFR 1.181(a).

A Notice of Non Compliant Amendment was mailed to me by the USPTO on June 7, 2006. I, David Grober, recall that I spoke with my examiner Lars Olson and our conversation revealed that some of the pages of my Response to the First Office Action had not made it into the computer and were missing. We determined that I should resend them. This was done by FAX on July 5, 2006. Twenty pages were sent and I received a Fax reply (attached) that the pages had been received.

Apparently a 2<sup>nd</sup> request for the same documents was sent to me on July 10, 2006. I never received that communication.

When I received the notice of abandonment dated 2/12/07, I was quite confused. I contacted my examiner Mr. Lars Olson. Mr. Olson reviewed the file, remembered that we had spoken about the missing documents and that I was going to Fax them in. He then checked his file and found that the missing documents to the July 10, 2006 request were received, including a complete listing of all claims, list with status identifiers, etc,. He reviewed those documents and concurred that everything appeared to be proper as well as correctly filed with the request of June 7, 2006. He was unable to figure out why a second request had gone out on July 10, 2006, (regardless that I had not received it). Everything, as far as he was concerned was in the file ready for review. He also noted that the 2<sup>nd</sup> request was not made by himself. So again, there was a question as to why it was sent. Mr. Olson suggested filing this revival.

I called the USPTO to obtain additional information on the proper form for the revival. I spoke with Ms. April Wise who, upon further reviewing the file suggested that possibly the July 10, 2006 request for documents may have been triggered because the amended claims list stated "amended" and she said that "currently amended" may have been a reason why someone other than the examiner had triggered the 2<sup>nd</sup> request. However, once she noted that all the claims were amended at the same time, and the examiner noted no errors, and then she noted there was a potential \$ 750.00 fee based on a potential technicality not even caught by the examiner, she did some research and phoned me back and said I should submit this written statement under 37 CFR 1.181(a) and that there would be no fees due. I also asked if there was a specific form to use and she said no, just to make a statement as to the facts.

I also believe the record shows that I was thorough in responding timely to the June 7, 2006 request, and sought confirmation from my examiner to make sure that everything was submitted timely and properly. If there had been an error that did need correcting which initiated the July 10, 2006 request, I certainly would have answered if I had received it.

Therefore please accept this statement of facts and request for the revival of patent application # 10/647,892.

Sincerely,

David Grober Inventor

PAGE 7/5/2006 7:15 PM **USPTO** TO: Auto-reply fax to 3108222679 COMPANY:

## **Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission**



TO:

Fax Sender at 3108222679

Fax Information Date Received: Total Pages:

7/5/2006 7:12:04 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

20 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received
Cover
Page
=====>

***		••••				
ED04	DAVID GROS	41612			•	
FROM.	GIG-A VEN	ice Wlvd.				
	(3.0) H22	) Hey Ch. 4( -1100 - Telep	0247 pann			
	()10) 822	-2674 - PAX				
	_					
ت. 10٠	<u> </u>	<u>o</u>	•			
COMPAN	Y:			_		
71X 1:	<u>(5</u> 71)	2 <u>71-810</u> 2	_			
		uding this par		_		
<b>4</b>			-	_		
		<u> بریمدی کلید</u>		_		
DATE:		71	ME ·			
			er.	1 -1	•	
				<u>Parent de</u>	<u> </u>	•
<u></u>	<u>allende</u>	- 12/147	<u> 1563</u>			
	سہ یہ ک	Dames	the re-	<u> </u>		
	Salar Amilia		To contra			
	7	- /				
		War Same			<del></del>	
			<del>- 1921</del>		•	
		<u> </u>	came deler	de .		
			<u> </u>			
	•		•			
-						
					<del></del>	
•						
-	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					